OTHER BOOKS BY STEVEN PINKER

The Stuff of Thought: Language and Human Nature

The Blank Slate: The Modern Denial of Human Nature

Words and Rules: The Ingredients of Language

How the Mind Works

Learnability and Cognition: The Acquisition of Argument Structure

Language Learnability and Language Development

LANGUAGE imct

CREATES

MOD ER Z SSICS

YDNEY

HARPERPERENNIAL



MODERNCLASSICS

This book was originally published in 1994 by William Morrow and Company.

P.S.™ is a trademark of HarperCollins Publishers.

be used or reproduced in any manner whatsoever without written permission except in the case of brief quotations embodied in critical articles and reviews. THE LANGUAGE INSTINCT. Copyright © 1994 by Steven Pinker. All rights reserved. Printed in the United States of America. No part of this book may For information address HarperCollins Publishers, 10 East 53rd Street, York, NY 10022. New

promotional use. For information please write: Special Markets Department, HarperCollins Publishers, 10 East 53rd Street, New York, NY 10022. HarperCollins books may be purchased for educational, business, or sales

First Harper Perennial edition published 1995.

First Perennial Classics edition published 2000. First Harper Perennial Modern Classics edition published 2007.

Designed by Stanley S Drate/Folio Graphics Co. Inc.

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data is available upon request.

ISBN 978-0-06-133646-1

> Harry and who gave me language Roslyn Pinker

-



An Instinct to Acquire an Art

surrender your imagination to my words for a few moments, I can cause you to think some very specific thoughts: remind you with some simple demonstrations. naturally that we present in every one of us. That ability is language. Simply by making tions of ideas to arise in each other's minds. The noises with our mouths, we can reliably cause precise new combinaare blunt instruments compared to an ability that is uncontroversially obsessions of fringe science; even in the depictions of believers these precision. I am not referring to telepathy or mind control or the other able ability: we can shape events in each other's brains with exquisite of the natural world. For you and I belong to a species with a remark-As you are reading these words, you are taking part in one of the wonders are apt to forget what a miracle it is. So let me Asking you only to ability comes so

When a male octopus spots a female, his normally grayish body suddenly becomes striped. He swims above the female and begins caressing her with seven of his arms. If she allows this, he will quickly reach toward her and slip his eighth arm into her breathing tube. A series of sperm packets moves slowly through a groove in his arm, finally to slip into the mantle cavity of the female.

stains from fabrics Cherries jubilee on a white suit? Wine on an altar cloth? Apply soda immediately. It works beautifully to remove the

interrupts, Dixie tells a stunned Tad that she and Brian were escape. However, when Tad says, "I love you," she lets him in. thought he was dead. She slams it in his face and then tries to When Dixie opens the door to Tad, she is stunned, because she married earlier that day. With much difficulty, Dixie informs what?" says a shocked Tad. Brian that things are nowhere near finished between her and comforts her, and they become passionate. When Brian Then she spills the news that Jamie is Tad's son. "M

next time you are in a supermarket you will look for club soda, one develop stripes, you now know what will happen next. Perhaps remind you of octopuses; in the unlikely event that you ever see ple the secrets of protagonists in a world that is the product of accidentally come together. You now share with millions of other peountil months later when a particular substance and a particular object out of the tens of thousands of items available, and then not touch it makes our communication even more impressive by bridging gaps demonstrations depended on our ability to read and write, and this stranger's imagination, the daytime drama All My Children. True, my guage we acquire as children. accessory; the real engine of verbal communication is the spoken lantime, space, and acquaintanceship. But writing is clearly an optional Think about what these words have done. I did not simply some one the of

stand out as the preeminent trait. To be sure, a solitary human is an impressive single language, came so close to reaching heaven that God himself in the story of the Tower of Babel, in which humanity, remark on. What is truly arresting about our kind is better captured felt threatened. A common language connects the members of a com-Crusoes would not give an extraterrestrial observer all that much In any problem-solver and engineer. But a race of Robinson natural history of the human species, language spea would king a Ö

> went habitats. Our ancestors, apparently, odons, giant woolly rhinoceroses, and dozens of other large mammals and shared ingenuity may shed light on why saber-tooth tigers, mastseventeen thousand years thousand wild horses at the changes on the planet. Archeologists have discovered the bones of ten like blue-green algae and earthworms, that has wrought far-reaching nated by negotiated agreements. As a result, present or past. And people can work in teams, their efforts coordiaccidents, and trial-and-error wisdom tive powers. extinct stampeded into an information-sharing network around the time that modern human Anyone can over the clifftop by ago. These fossils of ancient cooperation benefit bottom of a cliff in France, the remains of from the strokes killed them off. groups of p accumulated by anyone else, Homo si with s arrived in their aleolithic hunters apiens is a species, formidable collecof genius, lucky

following brain injury, is devastating, and in severe cases family memorator, the silver-tongued seducer, the persuasive battle of wills against a brawnier parent. Aphasia, the tions, the race is not to the swift but to the verbalto themselves, scarcely possible to imagine find two or more people together anywhere exchanging words. When there may feel that the whole person is lost forever. Language is so tightly woven to their dogs, even to their plants. life without it. is no one into human on earth, they will soon Chances are that if you to talk experience that it is ch In our social relawith, people talk ild who wins the -the spellbinding loss of language

losophy, years ago combines tools from the guage or any other language, but about something much more basic: "exaltation of larks." For I will be writing not about the English langrams, eponyms, or those the origins of idioms and slang, or divert you with palindromes, ana-"language" in the title, it will not chide you about proper usage, trace instinct to in history, there is something to write about it. This and neurobiology to explain the workings o a new science book is about human language. learn, speak, and understand language. For the psychology, was precious names born. computer Now called for groups of animals like Unlike science, most books with Some thirty-five f human intellilinguistics, phiitive science, first "it

gence. The science of language, in particular, has seen spectacular advances in the years since. There are many phenomena of language that we are coming to understand nearly as well as we understand how a camera works or what the spleen is for. I hope to communicate these exciting discoveries, some of them as elegant as anything in modern science, but I have another agenda as well.

already have opinions about language. They know that it affairs, and for our view of humanity itself. Most educated implications for our understanding of language and its role in vocably separating him from other animals. They know that language capacity to use symbols, and a biologically unprecedented event irremost important cultural invention, the quintessential example talk from role models and caregivers. They know that grammatical construe reality in different ways. They know that children pervades thought, with different languages causing their speakers to way, plays at a recital and recites at a play. They know that sophistication used to be nurtured in the schools, but sagging educafrightening decline in the ability of the average person to construct a tional standards and the debasements of popular culture have spelling takes such wackiness to even greater heights—George defying tongue, in which one drives on a parkway and parks in grammatical sentence. They also know that English is a zany, sounds system. nard Shaw complained that fish could just as sensibly be spell (gh as in tough, o as in women, ti as in nation)—and that only institutional inertia prevents the adoption of a more rational, spell-i The recent illumination of linguistic abilities has revolutionary le of his led ghoti learn to t-like-it-English a driveled to a people man's logic-Ber-

In the pages that follow, I will try to convince you that every one of these common opinions is wrong! And they are all wrong for a single reason. Language is not a cultural artifact that we learn the way we learn to tell time or how the federal government works. Instead, it is a distinct piece of the biological makeup of our brains. Language is a complex, specialized skill, which develops in the child spontaneously, without conscious effort or formal instruction, is deployed without awareness of its underlying logic, is qualitatively the same in every

are differences between webs and words, I will encourage you to see them the urge to spin and the competence to succeed. Although there language in this way, for it helps to make sense having an aptitude for architecture or the construction trades. Rather, genius and does not depend on having had the ri spin webs. admittedly organ, a neural system, and a computational mod know how entists have described language as individual, mation or behave intelligently. For these reasons some cognitive sciexplore. spin spider webs because they have spider brains, which give to talk in more or less the sense that s Web-spinning was not invented quaint term "instinct." and is distinct from more a psychological faculty, a mental It conveys the idea that people general abili by some unsung spider of the phenomena we ght education or on piders know how to lule. But I prefer the ties to process infor-

by modulating the sounds we make when we exhale. knack for communicating information about tions of the constellations against the time of day and year. In nature's migratory birds navigate talent of bats home in on flying insects using Doppler sonar. Some kinds of living species is far from unique in the domain of biology, species, it does not call for sequestering the study of humans from the quite signs, and the other staples of the semiotics curriculum. Though language is a magnificent ability unique to Homo sa symbols: a three-year-old, we shall see, is a gramm upright posture. It is not a manifestation of a gen and social sciences. Language is no more especially as it has been passed down in the canon of the humanities show we are simply Thinking of language as an instinct inverts the popular wisdom, incompetent at the visual arts, for a magnificent ability thousands of miles by calibrating the posia species of animal kingdom. Some kinds religious iconography, traffic primate a cultural invention than is who unique to a particular did what to whom th our own act, a piens among living atical genius, but is eral capacity to use

Once you begin to look at language not as the ineffable essence of human uniqueness but as a biological adaptation to communicate information, it is no longer as tempting to see language as an insidious shaper of thought, and, we shall see, it is not. Moreover, seeing lan-

guage nary Joe and the much-maligned English language (or admiration, perfection sophisticated than the thickest style manual or the be remember from time to time that nothing that is wort their children or something that must be elaborated The complexity of language, from the scientist's point human beings, even the notorious syntax-fracturing professional athto say about its spelling system. dignity to the English vernacular, and will even have some nice things entertainer-columnists make it out to instanct, we Finally, since language is the product of a well-engineered Oscar Wilde said, taught." our computer language and as biological birthright; it is one the, of ," in Darwin's wordsshall see that it is not the A preschooler's tacit of structure you nature's engineering marvels-"Education is an admirable thing, but it is well to know, and system, like, co-adaptation which and the gives us a new respect for your ordiinarticulate not something that knowledge be. nutty same applies I will try to restore some barrel teenage of Justly grammar is most state-of-theorgan any language). of view, is part h knowing can monkeys to all healthy in schoolparents teach skateboarder excites with "that biological more our that as

....

The conception of language as a kind of instinct was first articulated in 1871 by Darwin himself. In *The Descent of Man* he had to contend with language because its confinement to humans seemed to present a challenge to his theory. As in all matters, his observations are uncannily modern:

instinct, for every language has to be learned. It di observes, language is an art, like brewing or baking; would have dren; while no child has an instinctive tendency to ever, widely from all ordinary arts, for man has an unconsciously developed by many steps tendency to speak, as we see in the babble of our young chilguage has been deliberately invented; it has been . one of the founders of the Moreover, no philologist now supposes that any lanbeen a better simile. noble science of It certainly is not brew, bake, iffers, howbut writing slowly and instanctive philology a true

Darwin concluded that language ability is "an instinctive tendency to acquire an art," a design that is not peculiar to humans but seen in other species such as song-learning birds.

A language instinct may seem jarring to those who think of language as the zenith of the human intellect and who think of instincts as brute impulses that compel furry or feathered zombies to build a dam or up and fly south. But one of Darwin's followers, William James, noted that an instinct possessor need not act as a "fatal automaton." He argued that we have all the instincts that animals do, and many more besides; our flexible intelligence comes from the interplay of many instincts competing. Indeed, the instinctive nature of human thought is just what makes it so hard for us to see that it is an instinct:

It takes . . . a mind debauched by learning to carry the process of making the natural seem strange, so far as to ask for the why of any instinctive human act. To the metaphysician alone can such questions occur as: Why do we smile, when pleased, and not scowl? Why are we unable to talk to a crowd as we talk to a single friend? Why does a particular maiden turn our wits so upside-down? The common man can only say, "Of course we smile, of course our heart palpitates at the sight of the crowd, of course we love the maiden, that beautiful soul clad in that perfect form, so palpably and flagrantly made for all eternity to be loved!"

and precious and never-to-be-too-much-sat-upon object which world to whom a nestful of eggs was not the utterly fascinating Ыy bear, the she-bear. To the broody hen the To the lion it is the lioness which is mad things it tends to do in presence of to her seem And so, probably, does each animal feel about the particumonstrous that there should particular objects. , be a creature in the notion would probae to be loved; to the

Thus we may be sure that, however mysterious some animals' instincts may appear to us, our instincts will appear no less mysterious to them. And we may conclude that, to the

animal which obeys it, every impulse and every step of every need she care or know anything about the future maggot the world can stimulate her ovipositor to its discharge? Does the one particular leaf, or carrion, or bit of dung, that out of all voluptuous thrill may not shake a fly, when she at last discovers moment the only eternally right and proper thing to do. What instinct shines with its own sufficient light, and seems at not the discharge then seem to her the only fitting thing? And and the

of language are as far from our awareness as the rationale we can forget that a movie is in a foreign language and subtitled. We is transparent; we see through to the meaning so automatically that laying is from the fly's. Our thoughts come out of our mouths so ers, but when a child says Don't giggle me! or We holded the think children pick up their mother tongue by imitating their mothcensors. When we are comprehending sentences, the stream effortlessly that they often embarrass us, having cluded our mental ask the "why" and "how" of these seemingly homely abilities. with a first one, or deconstruct a snatch of baby talk, or try to an immigrant struggling with a second language or a stroke patient with learning, to make these natural gifts seem strange, to get you to illusions, masking a system of great richness and beauty. a computer to understand English, and ordinary speech begins to look bits, it cannot be an act of imitation. I want to debauch your mind different. The effortlessness, the transparency, the automaticity are I can think of no better statement of my main goal. The workings baby rabof words program for egg-Watch

ence. In the 1950s the social sciences were dominated by behaviorism, responsible for the modern revolution in language and cognitive sciunmasked the intricacy of the system and perhaps the person most the school of thought popularized by John Watson and B. F "mind" and "innate" were dirty words. Behavior was explained by a Mental terms like "know" and "think" were branded as unscientific; instinct comes from Noam Chomsky, the linguist In this century, the most famous argument that language is like who first Skinner.

> it as follows: the syntactic patterns out of the speech of their parents. Chomsky put of all languages, dren must innately be equipped with a plan comm that they have never before encountered. Therefore, he argued, chilup to give consistent interpretations to novel sentence constructions set of complex grammars rapidly and without formal instruction and grow prose). listic "grammars," which are just guides called a mental grammar (not to be confused with pedagogical or stybrain must contain a recipe or program that can build an unlimited tion of words, appearing for the first time in the history of the unisentence that a person utters or understands is a brand-new combination to two fundamental facts about language. pressing bars and dogs salivating to tones. But C few laws of stimulus-response learning that could Therefore sentences out of a finite list of words. That program may be The second fundamental fact is that chil נם a language cannot be a repertoire of responses; the Universal Grammar, that tells them how to distill to the etiquette of written on to the grammars ldren develop these First, virtually every homsky called attenbe studied with rats

and so forth will depend in part on external factors. . . . variation along such dimensions as size, rate of development, ence. Rather, it is taken for granted that the physical structure structure of ously experience to have arms rather than wings, or approached in quite different ways. No one few centuries that physical and mental development have been It is a curious fact about the the proposal that the human organism organism is genetically determined, though of course particular organs results from intellectual history of the past accidental experiwould take serilearns through that the basic

from what develops as a specific historical product. . . . The structures of mind that develop over time are taken to be in these domains, social environment is the doapproached in a very different way. It is generally assumed that cognitive The development of personality, behavior and structures accidental; there is no in higher organisms has often "human nature" apart minant factor. patterns, and been

plex bodily organ? structure such as language more or less as we study some comphysical structures that develop in the life of the organi gated, prove to be no less marvelous and intricate than Why, then, should we not study the acquisition of a cognihuman cognitive systems, when seriously investism. tive the

substance about linguistic universals, we can be quite sure that consideration dispels these doubts. Even knowing very little of because of the great variety of human languages. But a closer munity have developed essentially the same language. This able [to the child]. Nevertheless individuals in a speech comthe possible variety of language is sharply limited.... The can be explained only on the assumption that these individuals guage each person acquires is a rich and complex construction employ highly restrictive principles that guide the construction hopelessly underdetermined by the fragmentary evidence avail-At first glance, the proposal may seem absurd, if only lanfact

ordinary people accept as part of their mother tongue, Chomsky and work encouraged other scientists, among them Eric Lenneberg, other linguists developed theories of the mental grammars underlying open up whole new areas of language study, from child development people's knowledge of particular languages and of the Universal the only living member of the top ten. all of the humanities (beating out Hegel and Cicero and trailing only thousands. Chomsky is currently among the ten most-cited writers in munity of scientists studying the questions he raised numbers in the and speech perception to neurology and genetics. By now, the com-George Miller, Roger Brown, Morris Halle, and Alvin Liberman, to Grammar underlying the particular grammars. Early on, Chomsky's Marx, Lenin, Shakespeare, the Bible, Aristotle, Plato, and Freud) and By performing painstaking technical analyses of the sentences

exercised. What those citations say is another matter. Chomsky gets people Reactions range from the awe-struck deference ordinarily

> edges, one of culture. according to which the human psyche is molded century intellectual life-the that academics have developed into a high art. In part this is because reserved for gurus of weird religious cults Chomsky his severest critics, the philosopher Hilary Putnam, acknowl-But it is also because no thinker can afford to ignore him. As attacks what is still one of the "Standard" foundations of twentiethto the withering invective Social Science Model," by the surrounding

central and perennial importance topics, such as the structure of the human mi trine of innate to revive (and the ability to revive) positions (such as the "docoriginality, scorn for the faddish and the superficial; willingness powerful personality as it is of his obvious intellectual virtues: intellectual power; one knows one is encounted dinary mind. And this is as much a matter of the spell of his When one reads Chomsky, one is struck by a sense of great ideas") that had seemed passé; concern with nd, that are of ring an extraor-

instinct should believe that human language is a part of human biology language ing from how DNA builds brains to the dence converge on it. So the story in this book is highly eclectic, rangconclusion about the mind is convincing only if many sions of flesh-and-blood speakers are perfunctory and highly idealized. sentence structure, often couched in abstruse formalisms. His discus-Though I happen to agree with many of his arguments, I think that a of the language faculty are based on technical analyses of word and out important functions. And Chomsky's arguments about the nature evolutionary adaptation, like the eye, its major parts designed to carry that he envolutionary processes) can explain the origins of the language organ cism about whether Darwinian natural selection (as opposed to other influenced by Chomsky. But it is not his story exactly, and I will not tell it as he would. Chomsky has puzzled many readers with his skepti-The story I will tell in this book has, argues for; I think it is fruitful to columnists. The best place to begin pontifications of newspaper consider language of course, been deeply to ask why anyone kinds of evias

2

S.

Chatterboxes

ond largest island, was no exception. The European missionaries, habitation had remained unexplored. New Guinea, the wor from each coast in fact belonged to two ranges, not one, and between a solid line down the middle of the island. But the mountains visible that no one could live in the treacherous mountain range that ran in planters, and administrators clung to its coastal lowlands, convinced By the 1920s it was thought that no corner of the earth fit for the world for forty thousand years. The veil would not be lifted until them was a temperate plateau crossed by many fertile valleys. A million gold was discovered in a tributary of one of the main rivers. T Stone Age people lived in those highlands, isolated from the rest of ing gold rush attracted Michael Leahy, a footloose Australian prospecopen country on the other side. By nightfall his amazement turned to fellow prospector and a group of indigenous lowland people tor, who on May 26, 1930, set out to explore the mountains with a and his party loaded their weapons and assembled a crude bomb, they alarm, because there were points of light in the distance, obvious signs carriers. After scaling the heights, Leahy was amazed to see grassy that the valley was populated. After a sleepless night in which Leahy ld's he ensuhired as human sec-

made their first contact with the highlanders. The astonishment was mutual. Leahy wrote in his diary:

everything that was new to them. them, all running about and jabbering at once, also, and presently the camp was swarming women and children gradually got and hugged them, rubbing his bushy head against me.... The to find if they were painted, and grabbed me around the knees knelt down, and rubbed his hands over my bare legs, possibly with open mouth, and touched me to see if I was real. Then he backed away in terror. One old chap came forward gingerly our appearance. approach, we could see that they were utterly to look us over. When a few of them finally got up courage to come on, which they did cautiously, stopping me at once that there would be no fight. We waved to them to ing stalks of sugarcane. When he saw the women, Ewunga told front, armed with bows and arrows, the women behind bring-It was a relief when the [natives] came in sight, the men . . . in When I took off my hat, those nearest to me dn courage to approach with the pointing to . . . thunderstuck by every few yards lot of

That "jabbering" was language—an unfamiliar language, one of eight hundred different ones that would be discovered among the isolated highlanders right up through the 1960s. Leahy's first contact repeated a scene that must have taken place hundreds of times in human history, whenever one people first encountered another. All of them, as far as we know, already had language. Every Hottentot, every Eskimo, every Yanomamö. No mute tribe has ever been discovered, and there is no record that a region has served as a "cradle" of language from which it spread to previously languageless groups.

As in every other case, the language spoken by Leahy's hosts turned out to be no mere jabber but a medium that could express abstract concepts, invisible entities, and complex trains of reasoning. The highlanders conferred intensively, trying to agree upon the nature of the pallid apparitions. The leading conjecture was that they were

reincarnated ancestors or other spirits in human form, perhaps ones that turned back into skeletons at night. They agreed upon an empirical test that would settle the matter. "One of the people hid," recalls the highlander Kirupano Eza'e, "and watched them going to excrete. He came back and said, 'Those men from heaven went to excrete over there.' Once they had left many men went to take a look. When they saw that it smelt bad, they said, 'Their skin might be different, but their shit smells bad like ours.'"

just any cultural invention but the product of a special human instinct. society; within a society, the inventions are generally at the same level guists with awe, and is the first reason to suspect that language is not and cook on fires ignited by spinning sticks in logs; others use computers and microwave ovens. Language, however, ruins this of sophistication. Some groups count by carving notches on bones Stone Age language. Earlier in this century the anthropological lin-Cultural inventions vary widely in their sophistication from society to guist Edward Sapir wrote, "When it comes to linguistic form, tion. There are Stone Age societies, but there is no such thing hunting savage of Assam." walks with the Macedonian swineherd, Confucius with the The universality of complex language is a discovery that correlafills linhead-Plato as a

To pick an example at random of a sophisticated linguistic form in a nonindustrialized people, the linguist Joan Bresnan recently wrote a technical article comparing a construction in Kivunjo, a Bantu language spoken in several villages on the slopes of Mount Kilimanjaro in Tanzania, with its counterpart construction in English, which she describes as "a West Germanic language spoken in English, which she former colonies." The English construction is called the dative* and is found in sentences like She baked me a brownie and He promised her Arpège, where an indirect object like me or her is placed after the verb to indicate the beneficiary of an act. The corresponding Kivunjo construction is called the applicative, whose resemblance to the English

other people and I," and "you, one or more other persons, and I," tinguishes among "you and I," "another person and I," "several the complex Cherokee pronoun system seems espe as the polite way to refer to copulation.) Among the other clever gadual dimorphism; the more accurate term sex seems at least in pronouns. For this reason the linguistic term gender has that in many European languages the genders correspond to the sexes, been pressed into service by nonlinguists as a convenient label for sexextended objects, clusters of objects, and body parts. It just happens inal meaning of "kind," of this chapter surmised. To a linguist, the term gender retains its origuals, hermaphrodites, androgynous people, and so on, as one reader these "genders" do not pertain to things like cros each of which comes in sixteen genders. (In case you are wondering, which has seven prefixes and suffixes, two moods, and fourteen tenses; checkers." The dative, Bresnan notes, "can be likened to that of the game of chess to the verb have glimpsed in the grammars of so-called primitive groups, The English crudely collapses into the all-purpose pronoun we. agrees with its subject, its object, and its Bantu "genders" Kivunjo construction fits entirely inside the verb, as in the related words refer to kinds like humans, animals, cially handy. It disnow to be reserved generic, genus, and s-dressers, transsexbenefactive nouns,

street, HAL and C3PO notwithstanding neered language system comes close to duplicating the person in the any English speaker, are dozens of subroutines that to express the meaning. Despite decades of effort, no artificially engihidden behind the panels. Behind such did he go? and or The guy I met killed himself, used automatically by takes its outcome for granted, unaware of the complicated machinery neering excellence is a pernicious illusion arising from the effortlessness of conversation. members of the middle class speak a simpler or coarser language. This up against the myth that working-class people and the less educated underestimated are right here in our society. Ordinary speech, like color vision or walking, is a Actually, the people whose linguistic abilities are most badly -a technology that works "simple" sentences as Where Linguists repeatedly run well that the arrange the words paradigm of engi-

^{*}All the technical terms from linguistics, biology, and cognitive science that I use in this book are defined in the Glossary on pages 503-516.

them. The best definition comes from the linguist Max Weinreich: in other regions refer to it as a darning needle, or that English of the United States refer to a certain insect as a dragonfly and people tions "dialects," as if there were some meaningful difference call canines dogs whereas French speakers call them chiens. It badges of "proper grammar." But they have no more to fling differences between the dialect of the mainstream and the dialect language is a dialect with an army and a navy. bit misleading to call Standard English a "language" and these variagrammatical sophistication than the fact that people in some regions the trim packages and color schemes are attended to obsessively. Tribooks, and dragged him away versus drug him away, are dignified as of other groups, like isn't any versus ain't no, those books versus them But though the language engine is invisible to the hun speakers between is even a ian user, do with þ

in Harlem. The interviewee is Larry, the roughest member of a teensions were based on the students' shy or sullen reactions to instead to a "non-logical mode of expressive behavior." The from an interview conducted by the linguist William Labov on a stoop that American black culture is everywhere highly verbal; the subculof standardized tests. If the psychologists had listened to spontaneous culturally deprived that they lacked true language and were confined psychologists announced that American black children had deficient is widespread. In the 1960s some well-meaning educational some fairly negative reactions on both sides.") age gang called the Jets. (Labov observes in his scholarly article that conversations, they would have rediscovered the commonplace "for most readers of this paper, first contact with Larry would ogy for the value placed on linguistic virtuosity. Here is an ture of street youths in particular is famous in the annals of anthropol-The myth that nonstandard dialects of English are grammatically example, produce batteries been conclu-

You know, like some people say if you're good an' shit, your spirit goin' t'heaven ... 'n' if you bad, your spirit goin' to hell. Well, bullshit! Your spirit goin' to hell anyway, good or bad.

[Why?]

Why? I'll tell you why. 'Cause, you see, doesn' nobody really know that it's a God, y'know, 'cause I mean I have seen black gods, white gods, all color gods, and don't nobody know it's really a God. An' when they be sayin' if you good, you goin' t'heaven, tha's bullshit, 'cause you ain't goin' to no heaven, 'cause it ain't no heaven for you to go to.

[··· jus' suppose that there is a God, would he be white or black?]

He'd be white, man.

[Why?]

Why? I'll tell you why. 'Cause the average whitey out here got everything, you dig? And the nigger ain't got shit, y'know? Y'understan'? So—um—for—in order for that to happen, you know it ain't no black God that's doin' that bullshit.

bad); this is not random laziness but a systematic rule that is virtually main clauses like Don't nobody know; SAE speakers invert them only and other BEV speakers invert subjects exact set of the sentence types allowing inversion differs slightly. Larry guages, negative concord (You ain't goin' to no heaven) is ula, BEV uses it as a meaningless dummy subject for the copula (compare SAE's There's really a God with Larry's It's really a God). Larry's it is: if Labov did not have to call attention to it to been filed away as just another language. Where Standard American English (SAE) uses there as a meaningless dummy s that ghetto children lack true linguistic competence, it would have interesting thing about the dialect is how linguistically uninteresting dialect called Black English Vernacular (BEV). The well, but to a linguist it punctiliously First contact with Larry's grammar may produce negative reactions as questions BEV allows subjects and auxiliaries in nondeclarative sentences, but the such as French (ne . . like Doesn't anybody know? its speakers the option of deleting copulas (If you . pas). Like speakers of SAE, Larry conforms to the rules of the and and a few other sentence auxiliaries in negative seen in many lanubject for the copdebunk the claim most linguistically

identical to the contraction rule in SAE that reduces He is to He's, You are to You're, and I am to I'm. In both dialects, be can erode only in certain kinds of sentences. No SAE speaker would try the following contractions:

Yes he is! → Yes he's!
I don't care what you are. → I don't care what you're.
Who is it? → Who's it?

For the same reasons, no BEV speaker would try the following deletions:

I don't care what you are. → I don't care what you.
Who is it? → Who it?

in which BEV is more precise than standard English. He be seen), whereas SAE speakers usually contract them (Pve seen). Note, too, that BEV speakers are not just more prone struggle unsuccessfully to duplicate (relative clauses, complement means that he generally works, perhaps that he has a regular we would expect from comparisons between languages, there are areas words. BEV speakers use the full forms of certain auxiliaries full inventory of grammatical paraphernalia that computer scientists Moreover, sentences like In order for that to happen, you know it ain't fairly sophisticated theological argumentation. structures, clause subordination, and so on), not to mention some no black God that's doin' that bullshit show that Larry's speech uses the tence is uttered. In SAE, He is working fails to make that distinction. working means only that he is working at the moment that the sen-5 job; He eroding working (I have And as

Another project of Labov's involved tabulating the percentage of grammatical sentences in tape recordings of speech in a variety of social classes and social settings. "Grammatical," for these purposes, means "well-formed according to consistent rules in the dialect of the speakers." For example, if a speaker asked the question Where are you going?, the respondent would not be penalized for answering To the store, even though it is in some sense not a complete sentence. Such

tences was found in the proceedings of learned academic conferences. middle-class speech. tences were grammatical, especially in casual speech, with higher perof Labov's tabulation are enlightening. The great majority of randomly broken-off sentence fragments, tongue-tied hemming and hawing, slips of the tongue, and other forms of word salad. The results never used. "Ungrammatical" the alternative, ellipses are obviously part of the grammar of conversational English; of grammatical sentences in working-class speech than in I am going to the store, sounds stilted and is almost The highest percentage of ungrammatical sensentences, by this definition, include sen-

their parents. From cultures that had language, it would spread like within a culture as parents taught their children and have time to say it. Once language has a word a million syllables long because no person would and "foot" because human information processing. All languages have words for "water" exigencies of human experience as invented by resourceful people a number of times long ago. (Perhaps, able for all the activities of daily living in a community of people: preparing a special hand-to-mouth instinct to explain why. Language is invalurather than one's feet, which is also universal, but we need not invoke Necessity being the mother of invention, language could have been more useful than Coca-Cola. It is more like eating with one's hands a language, nowadays anthropologists have trouble finding a people Language was universal before Coca-Cola was, but then, language is beyond the reach of VCR's, Coca-Cola, and Bart Just as travelers in previous decades never encountered a tribe without Putnam, it is no proof at all. Not everything that is is innate. But to tough-minded skeptics like the discovery The ubiquity of complex language among human beings is a gripping Lily Tomlin said, man invented language to satisfy his deep need complain.) food and shelter, loving, arguing, negotiating, teaching. and, for many observers, compelling proof that language Universal grammar would simply reflect the universal all people need to refer to invented, language and the universal limitations would entrench itself water and feet; no philosopher Hilary universal is innate Simpson T-shirts. children imitated

wildfire to other, quieter cultures. At the heart of this process is wondrously flexible human intelligence, with its general multipurpose learning strategies.

So the universality of language does not lead to an innate language instinct as night follows day. To convince you that there is a language instinct, I will have to fill in an argument that leads from the jabbering of modern peoples to the putative genes for grammar. The crucial intervening steps come from my own professional specialty, the study of language development in children. The crux of the argument is that complex language is universal because they are taught, not because they are generally smart, not because it is useful to them, but because they just can't help it. Let me now take you down this trail of evidence.

The trail begins with the study of how the particular languages we find in the world today arose. Here, one would think, linguistics runs into the problem of any historical science: no one recorded the crucial events at the time they happened. Although historical linguists can trace modern complex languages back to earlier ones, this just pushes the problem back a step; we need to see how people create a complex language from scratch. Amazingly, we can.

sodes of world history, the Atlantic slave trade and indentured servistrings of words borrowed from the language of the colonizers different languages have to communicate to carry out practical tasks grounds; others preferred specific ethnicities but had to accept mixdeliberately mixed slaves and laborers from different language backsome of the masters of tobacco, cotton, coffee, and sugar plantations tude in the South Pacific. Perhaps mindful of the Tower of Babel, they develop a makeshift jargon called a pidgin. Pidgins are plantation owners, highly variable in order and with little in but do not have the opportunity to learn one another's languages, of grammar. Sometimes a pidgin can become a lingua franca and grad-The first cases were wrung from two of the more sorrowful epibecause that was all that was available. When speakers the way choppy S. of

ually increase in complexity over decades, as in the "Pidgin English" of the modern South Pacific. (Prince Philip was delighted to learn on a visit to New Guinea that he is referred to in that language as fella belong Mrs. Queen.)

The language that results when children make tongue is called a creole existed before, resulting in a brand-new, richly expressive language. strings, them in the pidgin. Not content to reproduce the their parents and were tended collectively by a worker who spoke to happened, Bickerton has argued, when children were isolated from to the pidgin at the age when they acquire their mother tongue. That in one fell swoop: all it takes is for a group of children to be exposed many cases a pidgin can be transmuted into a But the the children injected linguist Derek Bickerton has grammatical complexity where presented evidence that in a pidgin their native fragmentary word complex language none

typical examples of their speech: alive when Bickerton interviewed them in the the Philippines, and Puerto Rico, and a pidgin quickly developed. pool. Workers were brought in from China, Japan, Korea, Portugal, Many of the immigrant laborers who first develope plantations, whose demands for labor quickly outstripped the native before the turn of the century there was a boom in Hawaiian sugar occurred recently enough for us to study are, fortunately, a thing of the remote past, one epis cumstance. Though the slave plantations that spawned most creoles Bickerton's main evidence comes from a unique historical cirits principal players. Just 197 0s. Here are some d that pidgin were ode of creolization

Me capé buy, me check make

Building—high place—wall pat—time—nowtime—an' den—a new tempecha eri time show you.

Good, dis one. Kaukau any-kin' dis one. Pilipine islan' no good. No mo money.

From the individual words and the context, it was possible for the listener to infer that the first speaker, a ninety-two-year-old Japanese immigrant talking about his earlier days as a coffee farmer, was

food was "pfrawg," which he caught for himself in the marshes by speaker, another elderly Japanese immigrant, had been introduced to than in the Philippines; here you can get all kinds of food, but over speaker, a sixty-nine-year-old Filipino, was saying "It's better of the building which displayed the time and temperature. The third the wonders of civilization in Los Angeles by one of his many c speakers the ordinary grammatical resources to convey these mesand was saying that there was an electric sign high up on the wall been referring to his current situation as a store owner. The made him out a check," which would have been appropriate if he had other temporal and logical markers, no structure more comp there there isn't any money to buy food with." (One of the the utterance itself could just as easily have meant "I bought coffee; I trying to say "He bought my coffee; he made me out a chec tions had to be filled in by the listener. The pidgin did not offer the the method of "kank da head.") In all these cases, the speaker's intena simple clause, and no consistent way to indicate who did what to -no consistent word order, no prefixes or suffixes, no tense or kinds of k." But lex than hildren, second here

But the children who had grown up in Hawaii beginning in the 1890s and were exposed to the pidgin ended up speaking quite differently. Here are some sentences from the language they invented, Hawaiian Creole. The first two are from a Japanese papaya grower born in Maui; the next two, from a Japanese/Hawaiian ex-plantation laborer born on the big island; the last, from a Hawaiian motel manager, formerly a farmer, born in Kauai:

Da firs japani came ran away from japan come.

"The first Japanese who arrived ran away from Japan to here."

Some filipino wok o'he-ah dey wen' couple ye-ahs in filipin islan'.

"Some Filipinos who worked over here went back to the Philippines for a couple of years."

People no like t'come fo' go wok.

"People don't want to have him go to work [for them]."

One time when we go home inna night dis ting stay fly up. "Once when we went home at night this thing was flying

One day had pleny of dis mountain fish come down.

"One day there were a lot of these fish from the mountains that came down [the river]."

Do not be misled by what look like crudely placed English verbs, such as go, stay, and came, or phrases like one time. They are not haphazard uses of English words but systematic uses of Hawaiian Creole grammar: the words have been converted by the creole speakers into auxiliaries, prepositions, case markers, and relative pronouns. In fact, this is probably how many of the grammatical prefixes and suffixes in established languages arose. For example, the English past-tense ending -ed may have evolved from the verb do: He hammered was originally something like He hammer-did. Indeed, creoles are bona fide languages, with standardized word orders and grammatical markers that were lacking in the pidgin of the immigrants and, aside from the sounds of words, not taken from the language of the colonizers.

Bickerton notes that if the grammar of a creole is largely the product of the minds of children, unadulterated by complex language input from their parents, it should provide a particularly clear window on the innate grammatical machinery of the brain. He argues that creoles from unrelated language mixtures exhibit uncanny resemblances—perhaps even the same basic grammar. This basic grammar also shows up, he suggests, in the errors children make when acquiring more established and embellished languages, like some underlying design bleeding through a veneer of whitewash. When English-speaking children say

Why he is leaving?
Nobody don't likes me.
I'm gonna full Angela's bucket
Let Daddy hold it hit it,

they are unwittingly producing sentences that are grammatical in many of the world's creoles.

worldwide in spoken languages. For example, American Sign Lanlanguage, using the same kinds of grammatical machinery in the past. But his basic idea has been stunningly corroborated by resemble English, or British Sign Language, but relies on agreement guage, used by the deaf community in the United States, does not there is a community of deaf people, and each one is a distinct, full mimes and gestures, inventions of educators, or ciphers of the many that have come from the study of the sign languages of the deaf. two recent natural experiments in which creolization by child do on his reconstruction of events that occurred decades or centuries language of the surrounding community. They are found wherever Contrary to popular misconceptions, sign languages are not observed in real time. These fascinating discoveries are gender systems in a way that is reminiscent of Navajo and Bickerton's particular claims are controversial, depending as they spoken iren can pantofound among Bantu.

inventing their own sign system, pooling the makeshift gestures that deaf adults, aged seventeen to twenty-five, who developed they used with their families at home. Before long the system contions rather than on a consistent grammar. ently, and the signers depend on suggestive, elaborate circumlocuthey were ten or older. Basically, it is a pidgin. Everyone uses it differgealed into what is now called the Lenguaje de Signos Nicaragüense matter. On the playgrounds and schoolbuses the children were every case where that is tried, the results were dismal. But it did not focused on drilling the children in lip reading and speech, and as in tional system, the first schools for the deaf were created. The because its deaf people remained isolated from one another. When the Sandinista government took over in 1979 and reformed the (LSN). Today LSN is used, with varying degrees of fluency, by young Until recently there were no sign languages at all in Nicaragua, schools t when educa-

But children like Mayela, who joined the school around the age of four, when LSN was already around, and all the pupils younger than her, are quite different. Their signing is more fluid and compact, and the gestures are more stylized and less like a pantomime. In fact, when their signing is examined close up, it is so different from LSN

the glue that holds the jokes, poems, narratives, and life histories, and it is verb for agreement in spoken languages. Thanks born before our eyes. toon and describe its plot to another child. The grammar, ISN is very expressive. A child can watch a surrealistic carcommon device in sign languages, formally identical to inflecting a representing the talker to a point representing the hearer. This is a signer modifies the sign itself, sweeping it in one motion from a point itself; all the young children sign it in the same way. position of the talker to the position of the hearer. But an ISN (creole) gin) signer might make the sign for "talk to" and then point from the hence they rely far less on circumlocutions. For example, an LSN (pidintroduced many grammatical devices that were absent in LSN, were exposed to the pidgin signing of the older childrenappears to be a creole, created in one leap when the younger children güense (ISN). LSN and ISN are currently being cholinguists Judy Kegl, Miriam Hebe Lopez, and Annie Senghas. that it is referred to by a different name, would have predicted. ISN has spontaneously community together. A Idioma studied by the language has been to such consistent coming to serve as de children use it in The children have Signos standardized just as Bick-Nicara-ISZ and psy-

But ISN was the collective product of many children communicating with one another. If we are to attribute the richness of language to the mind of the child, we really want to see a single child adding some increment of grammatical complexity to the input the child has received. Once again the study of the deaf grants our wish.

advantage of the communicative media available to munities and begin to acquire the sign language in the "oralist" tradition who want to force them to master lip reading and speech. (Most deaf people deplore these authoritarian measures.) When deaf children become adults, they tend to s up, and indeed are sometimes deliberately kept from deaf childrenlanguage But deaf children who are not born to deaf parents-When deaf infants are raised by signing parents, they in the same way that hearing infants learn spoken language. -often have no access to sign language users as they grow eek out deaf comthem. But by then them by educators that takes the majority learn sign proper of

window of opportunity in childhood. that successful language acquisition must take place during a acquired a language, their difficulties offer particularly good evidence cal errors. Indeed, because the deaf are virtually the only neurologioften permanently burdened with accents and conspicuous grammatiwho acquired sign language as infants, just as adult immigrants are difficult intellectual puzzle, much as a hearing adult does in language classes. Their proficiency is notably below that of dear usually too late; they must then struggle with sign language normal people who make it to adulthood without fpeople foreign having critical

indicate that the action is being done to more than one object (for air). Any verb in ASL can be modified to indicate that the action is opening a fist held horizontally in front of the mouth (like a tion in space, then repeats it but terminates it at another location. example, several candles): the signer terminates the sign in one locaon the sign and repeats it quickly. A verb can also be modified to verb inflection system of ASL. In ASL, the verb to blow is signed by These inflections can be combined in either of two orders: being done continuously: the signer superimposes an arclike chapter we will see why). Simon's parents had also failed to grasp the mar that governs all naturally acquired human languages (later in this grammar but, according to Chomsky's theory, the Universal father once tried to sign the thought My friend, he thought my second indicate that it is the topic of the sentence. The English sentence Elpis it with a prefix or suffix (in ASL, raised eyebrows and a lifted chin) to sixteen, and as a result they acquired it badly. In ASL, as in many thought he was deafconstruction and mangled it when they did. For example, Simon's languages, one can move a phrase to the front of a sentence an ents did not acquire sign language until the late ages of fifteen and pseudonym Simon, and his parents, who are also deaf. Simon's studied a nine-year-old profoundly deaf boy, to whom they gave child was deaf. It came out as My friend thought, my second child, he I really like is a rough equivalent. But Simon's parents rarely used this The psycholinguists Jenny Singleton and Elissa Newport -a bit of sign salad that violates not only ASL puff of motion ıd mark Grampar-

> with signs like then. In many ways Simon's paren they would occasionally use the inflections separat rules was lost on Simon's parents. They used the inflections inconsistently and never combined them onto a verb two out the candles on another cake continuously." the first cake again, then the second cake again" means "to blow out the candles on one cake, then the left twice and then blow toward the right twice. toward the left and then toward the right and rep blow out the candles on one cake continuou This ısly, and then blow tely, crudely ts were like pidgin another cake, the at a time, The or blow second means elegant set of first though toward linked order then

is an example of creolization by a single living child. onto a single verb in a specific order. Simon's superiority to his parents tion, and reinvented the ASL system of superimposing though never realized, in his parents' use of two kinds of verb inflec inflections that his parents used inconsistently, and as mandatory. And he must have seen the logic verb inflections almost perfectly, even in sentences when he had to describe complex videotaped events, he used the ASL them in stood sentences with moved topic phrases without difficulty, tive version, his own signing was far better ASL than theirs. Astoundingly, though Simon saw no ASL ungrammatical "noise." He must have particular orders. Simon must somehow but his reinterpreted them latched that was implicit, have shut out requiring two parents' both of them on to He underdefecand the his of

spoken language. But these crude codes are alway surely products of creolization. Educators at various it, incompletely, have tried to invent sign systems, sometimes based o transition from LSN to ISN shows, sign languages ASL at all often get it from hearing parents who born to hearing parents. Children fortunate enoug thousands of Simons: ninety to ninety-five percent of deaf children are Actually, Simon's achievements are remarkab first one who showed them to a psycholinguist. There must to communicate with their children. themselves le only because h to be exposed to unlearnable, points in history the themselves Indeed, surrounding learned as and the he

when deaf children learn from them at all, they do so by converting them into much richer natural languages.

Extraordinary acts of creation by children do not require the extraor-dinary circumstances of deafness or plantation Babels. The same kind of linguistic genius is involved every time a child learns his or her mother tongue.

mittens with bull's-eyes to help their babies find their hands sooner. is seen as an awesome responsibility, an unforgiving vigil to keep the intensive sessions of conversational give-and-take, with repetitive drills speech variety called Motherese (or, as the French call it, Mamanaise): a doggie!") In contemporary middle-class American culture, parenting and simplified grammar. ("Look at the doggie! See the doggie? There's same mentality that sends yuppies to "learning centers" to buy little that Motherese is essential to language development is part helpless infant from falling behind in the great race of life. The belief dren with implicit lessons. These lessons take the form of a chologists who should know better) think that mothers provide chilgrammar lessons, of course, but many parents (and some child psychildren language. No one supposes that parents First, let us do away with the folklore that parents teach their provide explicit special of the

us. In many communities of the world, parents do not indulge their its own. We find this amusing because we have observed the results of upright, and sure enough, every one of these infants soon sits up on southern Africa believe that children must be drilled to sit, stand, and word you say. unreasonable. children at all, except for occasional demands and rebukes. This is not children in Motherese. In fact, they do not speak to their prelinguistic own schedule. But other groups enjoy the same condescension toward our children to sit, stand, and walk, and they do it anyway, on their parenting in other cultures. The !Kung San of the Kalahari Desert in the experiment that the San are unwilling to chance: we don? One gets some perspective by examining the folk theories about They carefully pile sand around their infants to prop them So why waste your breath in soliloquies? Any sensible After all, young children plainly can't understand 't teach

> Mae's fully grammatical BEV. hearing aks 'em 'gain and 'gain 'bout things, like they knowin'." White folks uh hear dey kids say sump'n, dey say anthropologist Shirley Brice Heath: "Now just woman living in the South Carolina Piedmont, gratifying two-way conversations become possib person would surely wait until a child has developed speech and more adults and other children, learn to talk, Needless to say, the children in these posed communities, e. it back to as how explained we see in Aunt As Aunt crazy is 6 em, be born Mae, to the overdat? dey

iary is, and move it to the front of the sentence: questions. Consider how you might turn the decl unicorn is in the garden into the corresponding question, of language involves the process of moving words around to form in the garden? You could scan the declarative sente acquire. In fact, we can show that they know things have been taught. One of Chomsky's classic illustrations of the logic Children deserve most of the credit the nce, take the auxillarative sentence A they language Is a unicorn could not they

THE RESERVE OF THE PARTY OF THE

a unicorn is in the garden. → is a unicorn the garden?

Now take the sentence A unicorn that is eating a flower is in the garden. There are two is's. Which gets moved? Obviously, not the first one hit by the scan; that would give you a very odd sentence:

a unicorn that is eating a flower is in the garden. → is a unicorn that eating a flower is in the garden?

for the first occurrence of the auxiliary word as one or "verb phrase." The real rule for forming questions does phrases, and give each one a mental label, like "sub such as "first word," "second word," and so on. rithms for grammar do not pick out words by the language. wrong? The answer, Chomsky noted, comes from the basic design of But why can't you move that is? Where did the simple group words into phrases, Though sentences are strings of words, and phrases into ject noun phrase" r linear positions, Rather, the algoour mental algogoes from left to procedure even not look bigger go

the one that is moved: The second is, coming immediately after this subject noun phrase, is first is sits deeply buried in it, invisible to the question-forming rule. words a unicorn that is eating a flower, behaves as a single unit. The right in the string; it looks for the auxiliary that comes after the phrase labeled as the subject. This phrase, containing the entire string of

is [a unicorn that is eating a flower] [a unicorn that is eating a flower] is in the garden. in the garden?

in Motherese. Surely not every child learning the basic design of language is innate. the poverty of the input," is the primary justification for saying that Mother say Is the doggie that is eating the flower in the garden? For embedded inside the subject phraseand presumably easier to learn. And it should be true even though the Chomsky, this kind of reasoning, which he calls "the argument from the structure-sensitive rule is right—questions with a second auxiliary sentences that would teach children that the linear rule is wrong and the one that scans the sentence as a linear string of words, is simpler the proper wording. This should be true even though the wrong rule, auxiliaries they should be capable of turning it into a question with dren, then the first time they are confronted with a sentence with two Chomsky reasoned that if the logic of language is wired into chil--are so rare as to be nonexistent English has heard

rule would have produced. single one of them came up with an ungrammatical string like appropriate questions, and, as Chomsky would have predicted, not a the boy who is unhappy is watching Mickey Mouse." Jabba was being tested, not Jabba. The children cheerfully provided the inspect a picture and answer yes or no, but it was really the child who child to ask a set of questions, by saying, for example, "Ask Jabba if a doll of Jabba the Hutt, of Star Wars fame. The other coaxed the and five-year-olds at a daycare center by the psycholinguists Stephen boy who unhappy is watching Mickey Mouse?, which the simple Crain and Mineharu Nakayama. One of the experimenters controlled Chomsky's claim was tested in an experiment with three-, four-, would linear Is the

> less placeholder? Perhaps they are as literal-mind subject: Is it raining? Now, how do children cope a subject. But the English question rule treats it Alice's Adventures in Wonderland: element that is there only to satisfy the rules of syntax, of the sentence, of course, does not refer to anything; it is a were commands like "Ask Jabba if it is raining in this picture." The it dren could have been keeping track of which words refers to a single actor playing a distinct role in the picture, Crain and Nakayama anticipated the objection. Mixed into their list lar actors, not which words belong to the subject just going by the meanings of the words. brains register the subject of a sentence. Perhaps the Now, you may object that this does not s The man how ed Just with this meaningnoun phrase. are about as the who is running which demand like that children any children and chil-Duck dummy particuother were But

the patriotic archbishop of Canterbury, found it "I proceed [said the Mouse]. 'Edwin and More Mercia and Northumbria, declared for him; and "Found what?" said the Duck. car, advisable even Stigand, the earls

you know what 'it' means." "Found it," the Mouse replied rather crossly: of, course

question is, what did the archbishop find?" thing," said the Duck: "it's generally a frog, or "I know what 'it' means well enough, when دو worm. find The

if running is fun" and "Ask Jabba if love is good or in this picture," or with subjects that are not things, tion with other dummy subjects, as in "Ask Jabba it raining in this picture? Similarly, they had no trouble forming ques-But children are not ducks. Crain and Nakayama's children replied, Is bad." as in there 33 is a snake Ask Jabba

other constructions, always in a structure-depende move the auxiliary to the front of the sentence to form questions over the globe, have auxiliaries, and like English, outcome of a drive for usefulness. Many languages, basic form of language cannot be The universal constraints on grammatical rules explained away nt way. also show as widely many the inevitable But this languages scattered that the and

standards for typewriter keyboards or Morse code or traffic signals. and agreement, and so on, seems to suggest a commonality rules, nouns and verbs, subjects and objects, phrases and clauses, case universal plan underlying languages, with auxiliaries and inversion puter programming languages or the notation of mathematics. The wide conventions; we don't find them in artificial systems like comorder (a trick that the human mind is capable of; some peopl the first and last words, or utter the entire sentence in mirror-reversed useful. It is as if isolated inventors miraculously came up with ic particular ways that languages do form questions are arbitrary, speciesto talk backwards to amuse themselves and amaze their friends). The not the only way one could design a question rule. One could brains of speakers, because many other plans would have been effectively move the leftmost auxiliary in the string to the front ; or flip e learn lentical just as just as in the

Evidence corroborating the claim that the mind contains blue-prints for grammatical rules comes, once again, out of the mouths of babes and sucklings. Take the English agreement suffix -s as in He walks. Agreement is an important process in many languages, but in modern English it is superfluous, a remnant of a richer system that flourished in Old English. If it were to disappear entirely, we would not miss it, any more than we miss the similar -est suffix in Thou sayest. But psychologically speaking, this frill does not come cheap. Any speaker committed to using it has to keep track of four details in every sentence uttered:

- whether the subject is in the third person or not: He walks versus I walk.
- whether the subject is singular or plural: He walks versus They walk.
- whether the action is present tense or not: He walks versus He walked.
- whether the action is habitual or going on at the moment of speaking (its "aspect"): He walks to school versus He is walking to school.

And all this work is needed just to use the suffix once one has learned it. To learn it in the first place, a child must (1) notice that verbs end

anyone bother? made, and how warm it is when the sentence is ur the sentence, whether the object of a preposition ject of the sentencein -s in some sentences but appear bare-ended in crucial factorsaccepting it as part of the spice of life), and (3) but irrelevant factors (like the number of syllables search for the grammatical causes of this variation -tense, aspect, and the number and person of the subhave been sifted out of the ocean of ttered). not ofothers, (as is natural or manthe opposed to just rest until those final word in Why would conceivable (S begin

useless though it is, in complex sentences like the school education, schooler with the pseudonym Sarah, points of denly begin to speak in fluent sentences, respecting most of the of several months in the third year of life during which children that forbid it. This mastery is part of their grammar the sentences that require it, and virtually never use it in the earlier, they use the -s agreement suffix in more than ninety percent of But little children do bother. By the age of their community's spoken language. For can be seen obeying the English whose parents three following: explosion, a period had agreement example, and only sentences ø half rule, sudhigh prefine or

When my mother hangs clothes, do you let 'em rinse out in rain?

Donna teases all the time and Donna has false teeth.

I know what a big chicken looks like.

Anybody knows how to scribble.

Hey, this part goes where this one is, stupid.

What comes after "C"?

It looks like a donkey face.

The person takes care of the animals in the barn

After it dries off then you can make the bottom.

Well, someone burts hisself and everything.

His tail sticks out like this.

What happens if ya press on this hard?

Do you have a real baby that says googoo gaga?

Just as interestingly, Sarah could not have been simply imitating her parents, memorizing verbs with the -5's pre-attached. Sarah some-

times uttered word forms that she could not possibly have heard from her parents:

When she be's in the kindergarten ... He's a boy so he gots a scary one. [costume] She do's what her mother tells her.

She must, then, have created these forms herself, using an unconscious version of the English agreement rule. The very concept of imitation is suspect to begin with (if children are general imitators, why don't they imitate their parents' habit of sitting quietly in airplanes?), but sentences like these show clearly that language acquisition cannot be explained as a kind of imitation.

instinct, not just the clever solution to a problem thought der across the board, including their language. The only pattern we on the other hand, language is just the exercise of human smarts, we spare them in an otherwise damaged brain, and you should have a guage should suffer while the other parts of intelligence carry on; that help wire it into place. Disrupt these genes or neurons, identifiable seat in the brain, and perhaps even a special set of genes generally brainy species. If language is an instinct, it should One step remains to complete the argument that language is a and less articulate the person should be. might expect that injuries and impairments would make people stupiretarded individual with intact language, a linguistic idiot savant. If, would expect is that the more brain tissue that is damaged, the duller and lanup by a specific have an

No one has yet located a language organ or a grammar gene, but the search is on. There are several kinds of neurological and genetic impairments that compromise language while sparing cognition and vice versa. One of them has been known for over a century, perhaps for millennia. When there is damage to certain circuits in the lower parts of the frontal lobe of the brain's left hemisphere—say, from a stroke or bullet wound—the person often suffers from a syndrome called Broca's aphasia. One of these victims, who eventually recovered

his language ability, recalls the event, which he experienced with complete lucidity:

but even to me the words seemed wrong and not what were permanent in every case. . . . I found I coul long because I had always thought that the effects suddenly realized that I must have had a stroke. me and I began to feel bewildered and frightened ished, horrified. I couldn't believe that this was rationalization made me feel somewhat relieve room and no sound came—I couldn't speak. . weak to take my weight. I called out to my w fact I actually fell on the floor because my rig all pins-and-needly and numb and I couldn't make have been sleeping with my right arm under me I wanted. I got out of bed but I couldn't stand; When I woke up I had a bit of a headache and thought īfe ht d speak ۵. as happening to because In but leg 3 and g, a matter of ij a way this was astonthe do 'n Was not then þ meant I must stroke it felt little next what for o O

entered the hospital. him three months later. Gardner asked him about of thirty-nine. The neuropsychologist Howard Gardner was a Coast Guard radio operator when he suffered As this writer noted, most stroke victims are not as his 8 lucky. stroke work interviewed at the before Mr. T age ord

THE PARTY OF THE P

"I'm a sig ... no ... man ... uh, well, ... again." These words were emitted slowly, and with great effort. The sounds were not clearly articulated; each syllable was uttered harshly, explosively, in a throaty voice....

"Let me help you," I interjected. "You were a signal . . ."
"A sig-nal man . . . right," Ford completed my phrase triumphantly.

"Were you in the Coast Guard?"

"No, er, yes, yes . . . ship . . . Massachu . . . chusetts . . . Coast-guard . . . years." He raised his hands twice, indicating the number "nineteen."

ર્શ-

"Oh . . . boy . . . right . . . right," he replied. "Oh, you were in the Coast Guard for nineteen years."

"Why are you in the hospital, Mr. Ford?"

say . . . talk, you see." patently obvious? He pointed to his paralyzed arm and said, "Arm no good," then to his mouth and said, "Speech . . . can't at me a bit strangely, as if to say, Isn'i

. stroke." "Head, fall, Jesus Christ, me no good, str, str . . . oh Jesus "What happened to you to make you lose your speech?"

doing in the hospital?" "I see. Could you tell me, Mr. Ford, what you've been

... get-ting better." times . . . read . . . wr . . . ripe, er, rike, er, write . . . practice "Yes, sure. Me go, er, uh, P.T. nine o'cot, speech . . . two

"And have you been going home on weekends?" "Why, yes . . . Thursday, er, er, er, no, er, Friday . . . Bar-

ba-ra . . . wife . . . and, oh, car . . . drive . . . purnpike . . . you

"Are you able to understand everything on television?" "Oh, yes, yes . . . well . . . al-most."

know . . . rest and . . . tee-vee."

in the language. When reading aloud, he skipped over the function problems were not in controlling his vocal muscles. He could blow when he wrote as when he spoke. Most of his handicaps centered words, though he successfully read content words like bee and oar that matical function words like or, be, and the, despite their high frequency around grammar itself. He omitted endings like -ed and -s and gramout a candle and clear his throat, and he was as linguistically requires grammatical analysis, like "The lion was killed by the tiger; water?" or "Do you use a hammer for cutting?," but not one that deduced from their content words, such as "Does a stone had the same sounds. He named objects and recognized their names which one is dead?" extremely well. He understood questions when their gist could Obviously Mr. Ford had to struggle to get speech out, float on hobbled but his be

> in the high average range." Indeed, the dialogue shows that Mr. Ford, handicap. like many Broca's aphasics, showed an acute understanding of his were all preserved. His Intelligence Quotient in nonverbal areas read maps, set clocks, make constructions, or carry out commands, and left, ability to draw with the left (unpracticed) hand, to calculate, tual functions not closely tied to language, such as command of his other faculties. Gardner notes: "He was alert, attenand fully aware of where he was and why he Despite Mr. Ford's grammatical impairment, was there. Intellecknowledge of right he was clearly in Was

Language Impairment (SLI). are given the accurate but not terribly helpful diagnostic label Specific disorders like deafness, and social disorders like autismcauses are ruled outmatical errors, often into adulthood. When obvious articulation improves with age, the victims persist in a vareity of grambegin to talk, they have difficulty articulating words, and though their children just fail to develop language on schedule. When they do underlying language can be compromised. A few Injuries in adulthood are not the only ways -cognitive disorders like retardation, perceptual otherwise that the circuitry nonlinguistic -the children healthy

birth orders. dren were randomly distributed among the families, language-impaired, twelve were normal. The language-impaired chil-Together these four had twenty-three children; of 1 children. One daughter is linguistically normal, as are this daughter's studied by the linguist Myrna Gopnik and several geneticists. The grandmother of the family is language-impaired. She has five adult dramatic evidence comes from one British family, twins has it, the odds are very high that the other wi correct. SLI runs in families, and if one member of a set of identical members in a family, have long been under the impression that SLI is hereditary. Recent statistical studies show that the impression may be Language therapists, who are often called upon to treat several The other four adults, like the grandmother, are impaired. them, eleven were ll, too. Particularly the sexes, and the the K's, recently

Of course, the mere fact that some behavioral pattern runs Ħ

And the state of t

families does not show that it is genetic. Recipes, accents, and lullabies run in families, but they have nothing to do with DNA. In this case, though, a genetic cause is plausible. If the cause were in the environment—poor nutrition, hearing the defective speech of an impaired parent or sibling, watching too much TV, lead contamination from old pipes, whatever—then why would the syndrome capriciously strike some family members while leaving their near age-mates (in one case, a fraternal twin) alone? In fact, the geneticists working with Gopnik noted that the pedigree suggests a trait controlled by a single dominant gene, just like pink flowers on Gregor Mendel's pea plants.

more of a tourist struggling in a foreign city. They speak somewhat studied one unrelated child with the syndrome who routinely received normal range in the nonverbal parts of IQ tests. (Indeed, overall intelligence; most of the afflicted family members score in the slowly and deliberately, carefully planning what they will say and is impaired, but they are not like Broca's aphasics; the impression is the best grade in his mainstream math class.) It is their language that they must speak. Their speech contains frequent grammatical sentences for them. They report that ordinary conversation is encouraging their interlocutors to come to their aid by completing such as misuse of pronouns and of suffixes like the plural and past ous mental work and that when possible they avoid situations in which What does this hypothetical gene do? It does not seem to impair Gopnik strenuerrors,

It's a flying finches, they are

She remembered when she hurts herself the other day.

The neighbors phone the ambulance because the man fall off the tree.

They boys eat four cookies.

Carol is cry in the church.

In experimental tests they have difficulty with tasks that normal four-year-olds breeze through. A classic example is the wug-test, another demonstration that normal children do not learn language by imitating their parents. The testee is shown a line drawing of a birdlike

clumsy results. compensate that normal children use unconsciously. The adul that she hadn't really grasped the English rule. Ap tive gene in this family somehow affects the develo ally, converting zoop to "zoop-es" and tob to "to "sasses." Flushed with success, she proceeded to generalize she responded, "Wug . . . wugness, isn't it? No. pair . . . pair it up. OK." For the next animal, zat, laughed nervously and said, "Oh, dear, well carry on." When pressed, ka . . . za . . . zackle." For the next, sas, she deduced shown, and the child is told, "Now there are two language-impaired adult is stymied. One of the adults Gopnik studied creature and told that it is a wug. Then a picture by consciously reasoning the rules ou "Your typical four-year-old will blurt b-ye-es," parently the defecpment of the rules I see. You want to of of them; there she said, out wugs, with predictably do that it must two their of. revealing too liter-"Za best them but the 5 þ

guage and bad cognition. dissociation, linguistic idiot savants—that is, peop hundred percent. To clinch the case, we need to at less than its full capacity; for language, all systems mind has to solve. imposes greater demands on the brain than any other problem the show that language is separate from intelligence. the rest of intelligence seems more or less intact. Broca's aphasia and SLI are cases where language For the other problems, the brain e But find the Perhaps with good have to can limp along S this does umpaired opposite language be one lannot and

Here is another interview, this one between a fourteen-year-old girl called Denyse and the late psycholinguist Richard Cromer; the interview was transcribed and analyzed by Cromer's colleague Sigrid Lipka.

I like opening cards. I had a pile of post this morning and not one of them was a Christmas card. A bank statement I got this morning!

[A bank statement? I hope it was good news.]

No it wasn't good news,

[Sounds like mine.]

The state of the same of the state of the st

I hate . . . , My mum works over at the, over on the ward and she said "not another bank statement." I said "it's the second one in two days." And she said "Do you want me to go to the bank for you at lunchtime?" and I went "No, I'll go this time and explain it myself." I tell you what, my bank are awful. They've lost my bank book, you see, and I can't find it anywhere. I belong to the TSB Bank and I'm thinking of changing my bank 'cause they're so awful.

They keep, they keep losing . . . [someone comes in to bring some tea] Oh, isn't that nice.

[Uhm. Very good.]

They've got the habit of doing that. They lose, they've lost my bank book twice, in a month, and I think I'll scream. My mum went yesterday to the bank for me. She said "They've lost your bank book again." I went "Can I scream?" and I went, she went "Yes, go on." So I hollered. But it is annoying when they do things like that. TSB, Trustees aren't . . . uh the best ones to be with actually. They're hopeless.

ican ears, because of her refined British accent. (My bank are awful, loquacious, sophisticated conversationalist—all the more so, to Amerare figments of her imagination. Denyse has no bank account, so she by the way, is grammatical in British, though not American, English.) account she shared with her boyfriend, she had no boyfriend, and could not have received any statement in the mail, nor could her bank obviously had only the most tenuous grasp of the concept "joint bank have lost her bankbook. Though she would talk about a joint bank engage her listeners with lively tales about the wedding of her sister, out of her side of the account. In other conversations Denyse would account" because she complained about the boyfriend taking money It comes as a surprise to learn that the events she relates so earnestly reunion with a long-estranged father. But Denyse's sister is her holiday in Scotland with a boy named Danny, and a happy airport seen Denyse on videotape, and she comes across as a

ried, Denyse has never been to Scotland, she does not know anyone named Danny, and her father has never been away for any length of time. In fact, Denyse is severely retarded. She never learned to read or write and cannot handle money or any of the other demands of everyday functioning.

and "blathering." tion include "cocktail party conversation," "chatterbox syndrome, develop language circuitry.) The various technical terms for the condieveryday intelligence but leave intact some other the ballooning ventricles crush much of the brain tissue necessary for with unimpaired-indeed, overdevelopedchildren occasionally end up like Denyse, the brain from within. For reasons no one understands, hydrocephalic spinal fluid filling the ventricles (large cavities) of the brain, distending often results in hydrocephalus, an increase in pressure in the cerebroof the vertebrae that leaves the spinal cord unprotected. Denyse was born with spina bifida ("split spine") a malformation significantly retarded but -language portions that can skills. (Perhaps Spina bifida

Ursula Bellugi in La Jolla. the parents bring their child to the laboratory of the to him. Chomsky is a paper-and-pencil theoretician called him at MIT, suggesting that their daughter might be of interest know Jabba the Hutt from the Cookie Monster, so article about Chomsky's theories in a popular science ents of a retarded girl with chatterbox syndrome in San Diego read an the most fascinating syndromes recently came to light when the people with severe intellectual impairments, like schizophrenics, Alzheimer's patients, some autistic children, and some Fluent grammatical language can in fact appear he aphasics. in many kinds of who suggested that psycholinguist magazine and wouldn't One of par-

and internal organs during development, though no regulation of calcium, and it acts in complex ways on 08У, associated with a defective gene on chromosome 11 of retardation called Williams syndrome. The syndrome seems to and a number of others they have subsequently tested and radiology, found that the child (whom they called Crystal), Bellugi, working with colleagues in molecular , had a rare form biology, neurolone the involved in the brain, skull, knows why Š

it has the effects it does. The children have an unusual appearance: tasks like tying their shoes, finding their way, retrieving items to me they look more like Mick Jagger. They are significantly lips. They are sometimes called "elfin-faced" or "pixie people nasal bridges, sharp chins, star-shaped patterns in their irises, they are short and slight, with narrow faces and broad foreheads, like Denyse they are fluent, if somewhat prim, conversationalists. Here cupboard, telling left from right, adding two numbers, drawing are two transcripts from Crystal when she was eighteen: bicycle, and suppressing their natural tendency to hug strangers. retarded, with an IQ of about 50, and are incompetent at ordinary and le," but from a But flat ىم

And what an elephant is, it is one of the animals. And what the elephant does, it lives in the jungle. I can also live in the zoo. And what it has, it has long, gray ears, fan ears, ears that can blow in the wind. It has a long trunk that can pick up grass or pick up hay . . . If they're in a bad mood, it can be terrible If the elephant gets mad, it could stomp; it could charge. Sometimes elephants can charge, like a bull can charge. They have big, long, tusks. They can damage a car . . . It could be dangerous. When they're in a pinch, when they're in a bad mood, it can be terrible. You don't want an elephant as a pet. You want a cat or a dog or a bird.

This is a story about chocolates. Once upon a time, in Chocoa yummy princess. She was on her chocolate throne and the late World there used to be a Chocolate Princess. She was s some chocolate man came to see her. And the man bowed to to a different color, then the Chocolate World-and youmelt to the ground like melted butter. And if the sun char work. And it's hot outside in Chocolate World, and you might "Please, Princess Chocolate. I want you to see how I do won't melt. You can be saved if the sun changes to a different color. And if it doesn't change to a different color, you Chocolate World are doomed. he said these words to her. The man said to and her, uch 1ges hen my

> drawing and announced, "Here, Doc, this is in remembrance of you." the sink and said, "I'll have to evacuate it"; anothe "brontosaurus rex." One eleven-year-old poured a and one that should be especially ibex, water buffalo, sea lion, saber-tooth tiger, vulture, koala, dragon, and you get a more interesting menagerie: unicorn, some animals, and you will get the standard inventory of pet store and barnyard: dog, cat, horse, cow, pig. Ask a Williams quirk: they are fond of unusual words. Ask a nor sentences, at normal levels. And they have an especially the children understand complex sentences, and fix up Laboratory tests confirm the impression of competence at interesting t mal child to name O glass pteranodon, handed syndrome child, paleontologists, ungrammatical of milk into Bellugi a charming grammar yak,

A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH

lack just the right genes or just the right bits of brain. all these advantages and still not be a competent lan together, or a particularly firm grip on reality. Indeed, withal to function in society, the skills to or even command a language. You don't need the i old enough for school. Your parents need not bath class; you don't need to do well in school; you don't even need to be don't need to have left the Stone Age; you don't need to be middle grammar is constitute a field guide to language users. They show that complex ela, Simon, Aunt Mae, Sarah, Mr. Ford, the K's, D People like Kirupano, Larry, the Hawaiian-born papaya grower, displayed across the full range of human keep guage user, if you ntellectual where-0 house enyse, and Crystal you in language you can possess habitats, and home May You